1 BEFORE THE POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD 2 STATE OF WASHINGTON 3 IN THE MATTER OF CASCADE SHIPPING COMPANY PCHB No. 79-116 4 (M/S ASIA BRAVERY) FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, 5 CONCLUSIONS OF LAW Appellant, AND ORDER 6 ٧. 7 PUGET SOUND AIR POLLUTION) CONTROL AGENCY, 8 Respondent. 9 10

This matter, the appeal from the issuance of a \$250 civil penalty for the alleged violation of Section 9.03 of respondent's Regulation I, came before the Pollution Control Hearings Board, Nat W. Washington, Chairman, Chris Smith, and David Akana (presiding) at a formal hearing in Seattle on December 5, 1979.

Appellant was represented by William P. Ellis, its president; respondent was represented by its attorney, Keith D. McGoffin.

Having heard the testimony, having examined the exhibits, and

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- 4 - 4

having considered the contentions of the parties, the Board makes these

## FINDINGS OF FACT

Ι

On June 28, 1979 at about 9:00 a.m. respondent's inspector saw black smoke coming from the boiler stack of the M/S ASIA BRAVERY at Pier 3 in the Port of Everett in Everett. He took an observation of the smoke and recorded Ringelmann readings between 1-1/2 to 5 for a period of 14 consecutive minutes. After taking his observation, the inspector boarded the ship and learned that correction to eliminate the smoke were in progress. The captain was given a copy of Section 9.16 of Regulation I and a notice of violation. From this followed a \$250 civil penalty and this appeal.

ΙI

The smoke from the ship was apparently the result of repairs made to the diesel engine. Appellant and its agents were aware of the provisions of Section 9.16 of Regulation 1 which allow for excessive emissions during startups, shutdowns, unavoidable failures, upsets or breakdowns but did not comply with conditions to attempt to benefit from the provision.

III

Pursuant to RCW 43.21B.260, respondent has filed a certified copy of its Regulation 1 and amendments thereto which we notice.

Section 9.03 makes it unlawful for any person to cause or allow the emissions of any air contaminant, here smoke, for more than three minutes in any one hour, which is darker in shade than that designated as No. 1 on the Ringelmann Chart.

\_ ;

2 '

\_ 5

\_'υ

Section 3.29 provides for the imposition of a civil penalty of up to \$250 per day for each violation of Regulation 1. ΙV Any Conclusion of Law which should be deemed a Finding of Fact is hereby adopted as such. From these Findings, the Board comes to these CONCLUSIONS OF LAW Ι Appellant violated Section 9.03 of Regulation 1 as alleged. A civil penalty for the violation was properly imposed and is reasonable in amount. ΙI Any Finding of Fact which should be deemed a Conclusion of Law is hereby adopted as such. From these conclusions the Board enters this ORDER The \$250 civil penalty is affirmed. day of December, 1979. DATED this POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD DAVID AKANA, Member

S F No 9928-A

FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT,

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27